## **PHY2023 Supplement 2: Lagrange Undetermined Multipliers (non-examinable).**

We require to maximise

$$\Omega = \frac{N!}{\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i!}$$
subject to the constraints  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = N$   $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \varepsilon_i = U$ 

Firstly, note that maximising  $\Omega$  is equivalent to maximising  $\ln \Omega$ , hence we wish to maximise

$$\ln \Omega = \ln N! - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \ln n_i!$$

Secondly, note that for any realistic system N and all the  $n_i$ 's will be very large, so we can apply the following approximation

$$\ln x! \cong x \ln x - x$$
 (for large x, "Stirling's approximation")

[This approximation is very widely used in statistical mechanics, so should be learnt. See Mandl or the 'Supplementary 3' sheet for more details.]

Combining these results, we wish to maximize

$$\ln \Omega \cong N \ln N - N - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (n_i \ln n_i - n_i)$$
  

$$\cong N \ln N - N - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \ln n_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i$$
  

$$\cong N \ln N - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \ln n_i$$
  
(since  $N = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i$ ).

subject to the constraints  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = N$   $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \varepsilon_i = U$ .

Although the minimum possible change in any  $n_i$  is 1, since  $n_i$  is itself extremely large i.e.  $\delta n_i \ll n_i$ , we can effectively consider that it is possible to perturb any  $n_i$  by an infinitesimally small amount  $dn_i$ . Our problem is thus to find the values of  $n_i$  that yield <u>no change in ln $\Omega$  to first order</u>, when any  $n_i$  is perturbed by  $dn_i$ . Compare this to finding the maximum of a function y(x); we seek a value of x that causes no change in y to first order when x is perturbed by dx i.e. we seek dy/dx = 0. Our problem is similar, except that ln $\Omega$  is a function of many variables (all the  $n_i$ 's). Consider locating the maximum of a function of 2 variables, e.g. finding the highest point on a surface z(x,y). The maximum (or minimum) is the point that satisfies

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 0$$



Hence we seek the  $n_i$ 's that satisfy

$$\frac{\partial \ln \Omega}{\partial n_i} = 0 \quad \forall i = 0 \dots \infty \text{ subject to the constraints } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = N \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \,\varepsilon_i = U \,.$$

In the figure above, the intersection of the pink and green lines is the <u>unconstrained</u> maximum of the function  $\underline{z}(x,y)$ . A constrained maximum would be the maximum value of *z*, given that some relation must also exist between *x* and *y*. e.g. if the constraint is that y = x/3 then the constrained maximum is the largest value of *z* that can be found lying along the line y = x/3 :-



To find the maximum of z(x,y) satisfying y = x/3, express the constraint equation as 3y - x = 0 and invent a new function

$$\Gamma(x, y, \lambda) = z(x, y) - \lambda(3y - x)$$

i.e. a function of 3 variables, x, y and  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is an "undetermined multiplier". Consider locating an unconstrained maximum of this function. This is a point at which

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \Gamma(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

Since  $\frac{\partial \Gamma(x, y, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = -(3y - x)$ , an unconstrained maximum of  $\Gamma$  must be a set of values

 $(x,y,\lambda)$  where x and y <u>automatically</u> satisfy our constraint equation. Also, since at any point satisfying the constraint equation we must have  $\Gamma(x,y,\lambda) = z(x,y)$  it follows that since we cannot find a larger value of  $\Gamma$  for any  $(x, y, \lambda)$  we also cannot find a larger value of z(x,y) where x and y also satisfy our constraint equation. The problem of finding a constrained maximum of a function is thus reduced to finding a conventional unconstrained maximum of a modified function. Further constraints can be added by introducing more undetermined multipliers and supplementing  $\Gamma$  with the additional constraint equations.

Applying this idea to our original problem, we seek an unconstrained maximum of

$$\Gamma = \ln \Omega - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i - N \right) - \beta \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \varepsilon_i - U \right)$$
 w.r.t. all the  $n_i$ 's,  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$ .

i.e. applying our approximate form for 
$$\ln\Omega$$
 we seek to maximise  

$$\Gamma = N \ln N - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \ln n_i - \lambda \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i - N \right) - \beta \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i \varepsilon_i - U \right)$$

Let us concentrate on maximising  $\Gamma$  w.r.t the  $n_i$ 's. We thus require the gradient of  $\Gamma$  to vanish w.r.t all of the  $n_i$ 's simultaneously. Noting that N and U are constants, this requires

$$\frac{\partial (n_i \ln n_i + \lambda n_i + \beta n_i \varepsilon_i)}{\partial n_i} = 0 \quad \forall n_i, \quad \text{hence}$$
  

$$1 + \ln n_i + \lambda + \beta \varepsilon_i = 0 \quad \forall n_i. \quad \text{Rearranging, yields for each } n_i \text{ the relation}$$
  

$$\ln n_i = -(1 + \lambda) - \beta \varepsilon_i \quad \text{, hence}$$
  

$$n_i = \exp(-(1 + \lambda))\exp(-\beta \varepsilon_i)$$

i.e.  $n_i$  decreases exponentially as the energy of the corresponding level  $\varepsilon_i$  increases.

Note that at this point the multipliers  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  remain to be determined. This can be done by applying our constraint equations. e.g. since  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = N$  we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(-(1+\lambda))\exp(-\beta\varepsilon_i) = \exp(-(1+\lambda))\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta\varepsilon_i) = N,$$

hence 
$$\exp(-(1+\lambda)) = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta\epsilon_i)}$$
,  
leading to  $n_i = \frac{N \exp(-\beta\epsilon_i)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta\epsilon_i)}$  i.e.  
 $\frac{n_i}{N} = \frac{\exp(-\beta\epsilon_i)}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\beta\epsilon_i)}$